1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00305-JLT-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	V.	ORDER
14	PEDRO DUARTE SANCHEZ ET AL,	DATE: August 30, 2023 TIME: 1:00 p.m.
15	Defendants.	COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on August 30, 2023.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until	
22	November 15, 2023, and to exclude time between August 30, 2023, and November 15, 2023, under 18	
23	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv).	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	d request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes body-worn camera, investigative reports, photographs, aerial surveillance video, audio	
27	records, and other evidence. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel	
28	and/or made available for inspection and copying. In addition, the government has confidential	

discovery that it has made available to defense at the government's office.

b) Counsel for defendants desires additional time to meet with their clients, conduct independent investigation, review the discovery (including visiting the government's office to review the confidential discovery), and consider a pretrial resolution of the case. In the case of

Mr. Sanchez, a plea offer has been made and defense needs additional time to finalize the plea

agreement and meet with his client.

c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 30, 2023 to November 15, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Case 1:22-cr-00305-JLT-SKO Document 74 Filed 08/25/23 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: August 14, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
2		
3		/s/ JUSTIN J. GILIO JUSTIN J. GILIO
4		Assistant United States Attorney
5		
6	Dated: August 14, 2023	/s/ Michael Garey
7		Michael Garey Counsel for Defendant
8		PEDRO DUARTE SANCHEZ
9		
10	Dated: August 14, 2023	/s/ Ryan Roth
		Ryan Roth
11		Counsel for Defendant VANESSA ARAUZA
12		
13	ORDER	
14	The parties shall be prepared to select a i	mutually agreeable trial date at the next status
15	conference.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17		
18	DATED: 8/25/2023	
19	DATED. 6/2020	Sheila K. Oberto
20		THE HONORABLE SHEILA K. OBERTO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2.5	1	
26		
26 27		